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Abstract—Deep learning techniques can predict cognitive intelligence from large datasets involving complex computations with activation functions. However, the prediction output needs verification for trust and reliability. Moreover, these algorithms suffer from the model’s provenance to keep track of model updates and developments. Blockchain smart contracts provide a trustable ledger with consensus-based decisions that assure integrity and verifiability. In addition, the immutability feature of blockchain also supports the provenance of data that can help deep learning algorithms. Nevertheless, smart contract languages cannot predict due to the absence of floating-point operations required by activation functions of neural networks. In this paper, we derive a novel method using the Taylor series expansion to compute the floating-point equivalent output for activation functions. We train the deep learning model off-chain using a standard Python programming language. Moreover, we store models and predict on-chain with blockchain smart contracts to produce a trusted forecast. Our experiment and analysis achieved an accuracy (99%) similar to popular Keras Python library models for the MNIST dataset. Furthermore, any blockchain platform can reproduce the activation function using our derived method. Last but not least, other deep learning algorithms can reuse the mathematical model to predict on-chain.

Index Terms—Deep-learning security, Blockchain, Smart Contract, Immutability, DApp, On-chain Prediction

I. INTRODUCTION & MOTIVATION

Trustworthy AI: Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications face challenges with data, models, and predictions with poisoning attacks [1]–[4]. The performance of AI applications may not be reliable under such exposure when left unprotected. Moreover, a tampered dataset may produce an incorrect model, and an incorrect model will result in wrong predictions. For instance, deep learning algorithms work with large datasets and build a multi-layer neural network to perform image classification, speech recognition, and many other prediction applications that require trustworthy models and predictions. The training from deep learning algorithms can be unreliable due to the damaged data. Furthermore, attackers can manipulate a model on a central server, producing a fake prediction. Therefore, trust in deep learning applications can be assured with the integrity of data, models, and algorithms.

Data & Model Provenance of AI With Blockchain: Blockchain integration with AI provides provenance of data and model [1], [5], [6]. Provenance refers to the tracking of unaltered data and models that can be relied upon for further development of applications. AI applications require the provenance of information to create explainable AI that ensures the audit-ability of model training. A trained model with provenance maintains accountability that helps identify any underlying learning problems with the algorithm. Finally, the model predicting the unknown data can provide the nature of predictions, explaining the classification. Consequently, AI model provenance with immutability features [7], [8] can facilitate reproducibility to debug a prediction problem.

Automation & Decentralized Voting: The absence of third parties in blockchain applications increases the reliability and trustworthiness of the resulting decisions [1], [9]. Additionally, the automation feature of blockchain allows anyone to train a model and predict without changing the smart contracts defined for the particular AI algorithm. Besides, the Blockchain decentralized platform has a consensus-based decision-making mechanism that does not depend on a single server computation but on multi-server output validation. For instance, swarm robots and deep reinforcement learning are agent-based decision-making systems that borrow the features of automation and decentralization from blockchain [10]–[12].

Model Ownership & Incentivization: One of the significant aspects of current research in AI is the ownership of data and training model [13]–[15]. The data owners can share the data with a cooperative blockchain and retain their credibility in the blockchain. In addition, the model trainers can train their models and be incentivized based on the usage of the models.

Smart Contract Limitations: Smart contracts in blockchain cannot execute floating-point mathematical computations [16], [19]. Moreover, the smart contracts do not support signed exponents, which again limits many exponential operations required by the deep learning algorithms of AI. For example, deep learning algorithms often involve floating-point mathematical computations [20] which cannot train and predict with smart contracts. Additionally, blockchain is facing challenges in scaling applications because of block size limits, delays in output and expensive transactions over time [17], [18]. Furthermore, with increasing demands of decentralized finance requests and complex applications, the verification
costs and networking costs are rising.

AI Marketplace: AI model marketplace sells models to users to avoid the laborious process of training [21]. Anybody can request a model without training by outsourcing the arduous job. This training requires the provenance of information to maintain the trust for the model. For instance, Amazon web service (AWS), Genesis AI, and SingularityNet [22] are some of the marketplaces currently available that provide AI marketplace services. However, AWS and Genesis AI marketplace solutions lack a trusted platform to provide model provenance. SingularityNet uses a blockchain platform for storing models but fails to predict on-chain. Figure 1 shows the disadvantages of standalone AI applications and the advantages of blockchain-based AI applications.

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

Deep learning algorithms are data-hungry approaches to prediction where a slight change in data can impact the model’s performance, resulting in unreliable predictions. Besides, third-party involvement in artificial intelligence can cause such manipulation to produce superficial and untrustworthy projections. Moreover, deep learning model development ownership requires a trusted platform to reward owners. Blockchain is one such platform that offers immutability, provenance, and incentivization to help deep learning algorithms with smart contracts. However, there are challenges with floating-point arithmetic operations in smart contracts, which limit the ability of prediction. Moreover, the activation functions involved in deep learning algorithms require the calculation of signed exponents such as sigmoid and softmax, which are not supported by smart contracts. Therefore, deep learning algorithms cannot develop a decentralized application to predict with blockchain smart contracts as per current literature.

III. OUR CONTRIBUTIONS

- We propose a novel, platform-agnostic, and reusable mathematical method to estimate activation functions output for prediction using Taylor series expansion using smart contracts. The activation function outputs are shown in Figure 5 and 6.
- We have tested our smart contract-based prediction for the MNIST digit recognition dataset and achieved almost the same accuracy as the built-in library function available for deep learning applications. See Figure 7.
- We have shown that the on-chain prediction is scalable with linearly increasing gas consumption for an increasing number of features and neurons. See Figure 8 and 9 and 10.
- We have shown the analysis of the cost of prediction for the MNIST digit recognition dataset in different blockchain platforms. See Table V.

IV. RELEVANT LITERATURE

Trusted Model & Security: Table I shows the recent literature on the requirements of trustworthy AI by National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST) and the National Artificial Intelligence Institute (NAII). The literature mainly focuses on the failure of AI to hold faith in the intelligence system. Blockchain with distributed ledger technology can make AI applications tamper-proof [1], [6], [24] and trustworthy. Moreover, one of the main contributions of [23] is to create trustworthy machine learning contracts where evaluations of machine learning contracts require an exchange of models. However, the trustworthy application requires blockchain smart contracts that cannot learn and predict with AI algorithms, which limits the efficacy of a trustworthy AI system.

Programming Language Barrier: Neural networks in deep learning algorithms require computations of activation functions that involve exponential operations and divisions. However, as per the latest release of Solidity programming language version 0.8.13 [25], the fixed-point variable cannot compute floating-point mathematical calculations and signed exponents. Fixidity [26], ABDK [27], Decimath [32] and PRBMath [31] are some of the recent libraries developed to provide additional floating-point mathematical operations. Nevertheless, these libraries fail to produce exponent computations, thereby limiting the smart contracts to predict with neural networks. Table II provides the summary of the latest fixed-point non-standard libraries. Table III shows the blockchain platforms along with the programming languages that do not allow floating-point computations.

Existing Applications: Many applications are trying to secure AI with blockchain primitives and help blockchain with AI intelligence. In SingularityNet [39], AI developers are given a platform to monetize their creations with different
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**Figure 1.** Comparison of standalone AI and Blockchain integrated AI for deep learning systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>Incentive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trained Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standalone AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Trust, Unreliable prediction, Untrustworthy ownership, Incentive issues, Third-party Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table I.** Existing Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application/Platform</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AWS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SingularityNet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep learning algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI marketplace services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table II.** Summary of the latest fixed-point non-standard libraries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixidity</td>
<td>Floating-point mathematical operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABDK</td>
<td>Floating-point mathematical operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decimath</td>
<td>Floating-point mathematical operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRBMath</td>
<td>Floating-point mathematical operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table V.** Analysis of the cost of prediction for the MNIST digit recognition dataset in different blockchain platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blockchain Platform</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Gas Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AWS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genesis AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SingularityNet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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AI techniques. For instance, an AI developer can create a node on the blockchain platform and start offering and receiving tasks for monetizing their work. Coin.AI [38] is another theoretical work that emphasizes validating a deep learning model on exceeding a performance threshold with a proof-of-useful-work consensus mechanism. Moreover, Raven protocol [40] introduces the incentivization benefits of anyone willing to share their computing resources to train compute-intensive deep learning tasks. Furthermore, Cortex [41] is an AI-Blockchain platform allowing storage of models on-chain for inference and incentivizing the model creators in the process. Anytime the model needs training or retraining, the model updates with off-chain training in the proprietary cortex machines called CVM (Cortex Virtual Machine). Additionally, the Cortex AI stores models to incentize the model owners. However, these contributions fail to establish a trusted prediction system using smart contracts.

V. METHODOLOGY

Design Overview: Our design prepares blockchain to predict with neural network algorithms to offer trusted prediction with reliable data. Data is stored in a distributed files system that assures data integrity by creating a hash value. We deploy an interplanetary file system (IPFS) to record hashes of data sets. The trustworthy AI design consists of a training and prediction phase. First, the AI developer trains data outside blockchain with a neural network algorithm and produces a model with reasonable accuracy. Secondly, we prepare a smart contract to compute the sigmoid and softmax activation function where a user request prediction to classify objects. Since smart contracts can only use integer operations, we derive a numerical method with Taylor’s series expansion to produce floating-point equivalent output for prediction. The smart contracts ensure the integrity of the model and prediction output in the prediction phase. Moreover, our design also offers provenance of the model for future development and incentivization for prediction on the blockchain network. Figure 2 shows a high-level plan of off-chain training and an on-chain prediction scheme. Figure 3 shows the event flow between the model developer and prediction requester for our solution.

**Fig. 2.** AI models developed off-chain and stored on-chain. A smart contract with the model can predict on-chain with sigmoid and softmax activation functions through our solution.

**Fig. 3.** Event flow between a model developer and prediction requester for on-chain prediction requests.

**Neural Network:** We consider a basic neural network design with an input layer, an output layer, and a hidden layer [42]. The input layer consists of data input, while the hidden layer consists of neurons with sigmoid activation functions and the output layer consists of the same number of neurons as the required output classes with softmax activation function (10 neurons for digit recognition). A neural network is trained off-chain, and a final set of weights and biases are stored on-chain through the smart contract. These weights and biases with forward propagation of our neural network predict the
Algorithm 1 shows the pseudo-code for sigmoid activation.

\[
\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \tag{1}
\]

For \(x \geq 0\), we consider \(e^{x}/(1 + e^{x})\); while for \(x < 0\), we calculate \(1/(1 + e^{-x})\). Therefore, the major calculation of the sigmoid function is the calculation of the exponential of a positive number. Rewrite \(|x|\) to be a summation of an integer \(q\) and a fraction \(r/b\) with an absolute value smaller than one as \(|x| = a/b = q + r/b\). Then the exponent of \(|x|\) can be written as \(e^{x} = e^{q}e^{r/b}\). For the term with integer exponent, we approximate the value of \(e\) with 19/7, and consequently, \(e^{q} \approx 19^{q}/7^{q}\). For the terms with fraction exponent, we approximate it using five-term Taylor expansion as follows:

\[
e^{r/b} = 1 + \frac{r}{b} + \frac{(r/b)^{2}}{2!} + \frac{(r/b)^{3}}{3!} + \frac{(r/b)^{4}}{4!}. \tag{2}
\]

With simple arithmetic operations, \(e^{x}|\) can be written as a fraction formula depending on \(q, r, b\) with both numerator and denominator requiring only integer operations.

\[
e^{x}| = \frac{19^{q}(24b^{4} + 24r^{2}b^{3} + 12r^{4}b^{2} + 4r^{6}b + r^{8})}{24 + 7^{q}b^{4}} \tag{3}
\]

Consequently, the sigmoid function can be represented as a fraction supporting integer operations for both numerator and denominator, with its output in fraction format. Algorithm 1 provides the logic of sigmoid activation function.

**Softmax Activation Function** Algorithm 2 provides the logic of softmax computation. Softmax is an activation function [43] used to classify labels when there is a multi-class prediction system. Softmax defines the probability of each class and predicts the most probable class to be the predicted outcome. Equation 4 shows the formula for softmax activation.

\[
\text{Softmax}(x_i) = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} e^{x_j}} \tag{4}
\]

For \(x_i \geq 0\), as shown in Section V, with the help of Taylor expansion, the exponential function of \(x_i\) (and consequently the softmax function) can be written as a fraction formula depending on \(q, r, b\) with both the numerator and denominator requiring only integer operations. In algorithm 2, first we...
compute the exponents(exp(x)) with EXPONENT function for all input values of array x represented in fraction form of array a as numerator values and array d as denominator values. Next, we compute the sum of all the exponents with the SUM_EXPONENT function. Finally, we compute the Softmax with the GET_SOFTMAX function and predict the class.

Expected Performance Analysis According to our proposed design, we have performed prediction tests on unclassified data sets to compare the performance accuracy of neural network prediction with smart contracts concerning the existing Keras [65] library function (A Python library for deep learning algorithms). Furthermore, we have compared the outputs of sigmoid and softmax activation to check our proposed solution implemented within smart contracts. Apart from these, we have studied the cost analysis of the Ethereum smart contract concerning computations performed for on-chain prediction.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Dataset: We have chosen the MNIST digit recognition dataset for our experiment [46]. The MNIST dataset has 784 features and 60000 samples with ten classes for digit classification problems. The training parameters of the neural network model (i.e., weights and biases) are converted to integer format with scalar multiplication. Dataset is divided into 50,000 training samples and 10,000 testing samples. Moreover, for testing our method, 101 random samples between 5.0 to 50,000 training samples and 10,000 testing samples. Moreover, we have performed prediction tests on unclassified data sets to compare the performance accuracy of neural network prediction with smart contracts concerning the existing Keras [65] library function (A Python library for deep learning algorithms). Furthermore, we have compared the outputs of sigmoid and softmax activation to check our proposed solution implemented within smart contracts. Apart from these, we have studied the cost analysis of the Ethereum smart contract concerning computations performed for on-chain prediction.

Algorithm 1 Sigmoid Activation

1: function SIGMOID (a,b) \(\triangleright\) getting input in fraction with x as numerator and y as denominator
2: \quad if (a/b \geq 1) then
3: \quad \quad q \leftarrow a/b
4: \quad \quad r \leftarrow a\%b
5: \quad \quad num \leftarrow 19^{q} \times 24b^{d}
6: \quad \quad den \leftarrow 19^{q} \times 24b^{d} + 7^{q} \times (24b^{d} - 4rb^{3} + 12r^{2}b^{2} - 4br^{3} + r^{4})
7: \quad else if (a/b == 0) \land (a \times b > 0) then
8: \quad \quad num \leftarrow 24b^{d}
9: \quad \quad den \leftarrow 48b^{d} - 24rb^{3} + 12r^{2}b^{2} - 4rb^{3} + r^{4}
10: \quad else if (a/b = 0) \land (a \times b < 0) then
11: \quad \quad num \leftarrow 24b^{d}
12: \quad \quad den \leftarrow 48b^{d} + 24rb^{3} + 12r^{2}b^{2} + 4rb^{3} + r^{4}
13: \quad else
14: \quad \quad q \leftarrow a/b
15: \quad \quad r \leftarrow a\%b
16: \quad \quad num \leftarrow 7^{q} \times 24b^{d}
17: \quad \quad den \leftarrow 7^{q} \times 24b^{d} + 19^{q} \times (24b^{d} + 24rb^{3} + 12r^{2}b^{2} + 4br^{3} + r^{4})
18: \quad end if
19: \quad return num, den
20: end function

Algorithm 2 Softmax Activation

1: function EXPONENT (Array a,Array b) \(\triangleright\) array "x" is represented as fraction with array "a" as numerator and array "b" as denominator where x[i] = a[i]/b[i]
2: \quad N \leftarrow length(a)
3: \quad for i \leftarrow 1 to N do
4: \quad \quad q \leftarrow a[i]/b[i]
5: \quad \quad r \leftarrow a[i]\%b[i]
6: \quad \quad if (q \leq 1) then
7: \quad \quad \quad num \leftarrow 7^{q} \times 24b[i]^{d}
8: \quad \quad \quad den \leftarrow 19^{q} \times (24b[i]^{d} + 24rb[i]^{3} + 12r^{2}b[i]^{2} + 4b[i]r^{3} + r^{4})
9: \quad \quad else if (q == 0) \land (a[i] \times b[i] > 0) then
10: \quad \quad \quad num \leftarrow 24b[i]^{d} - 24rb[i]^{3} + 12r^{2}b[i]^{2} - 4b[i]r^{3} + r^{4}
11: \quad \quad \quad \quad den \leftarrow 24b[i]^{d} + 24rb[i]^{3} + 12r^{2}b[i]^{2} + 4b[i]r^{3} + r^{4}
12: \quad \quad else if (q == 0) \land (a[i] \times b[i] < 0) then
13: \quad \quad \quad num \leftarrow 24b[i]^{d}
14: \quad \quad \quad \quad den \leftarrow 24b[i]^{d} + 24rb[i]^{3} + 12r^{2}b[i]^{2} + 4b[i]r^{3} + r^{4}
15: \quad \quad else
16: \quad \quad \quad num \leftarrow 19^{q} \times (24b[i]^{d} + 24rb[i]^{3} + 12r^{2}b[i]^{2} + 4b[i]r^{3} + r^{4})
17: \quad \quad \quad \quad den \leftarrow 7^{q} \times 24b[i]^{d}
18: \quad \quad end if
19: \quad \quad z[i] = num/den  \(\triangleright\) array z store output of this function
20: \quad end for
21: \quad return z
22: end function

23: function SUM_EXPONENT (Array z) \(\triangleright\) This function adds exponents which takes z as an array of exponents
24: \quad N \leftarrow length(z)
25: \quad for i \leftarrow 1 to N do
26: \quad \quad sum \leftarrow sum + z[i]
27: \quad end for
28: \quad return sum
29: end function
30: function GET_SOFTMAX (Array z, sum) \(\triangleright\) This function returns the classification output with z as an array of exponents and sum as the summation of all exponents
31: \quad N \leftarrow length(z)
32: \quad maxn \leftarrow length(z) \(\triangleright\) Numerator of softmax
33: \quad maxd \leftarrow length(z) \(\triangleright\) Denominator of softmax
34: \quad for i \leftarrow 1 to N do
35: \quad \quad maxn[i] \leftarrow z[i]
36: \quad \quad maxd[i] \leftarrow sum
37: \quad end for
38: \quad predicted_class \leftarrow argmax(maxn[item]/maxd[item]) \(\triangleright\) output index of greatest of fractions maxn[item]/maxd[item]
39: \quad return predicted_class
40: end function
-5.0 for sigmoid activation tests and 41 samples between +20 to -20 for softmax activation tests are generated.

**Software Framework:** The software framework of our experiment involves a blockchain network, a Metamask plugin, ethers from the Ethereum faucets, Ethereum smart contracts with required functions, and a Python framework for handling data flow between the components. We have developed six smart contract functions for our experiment: one for sigmoid activation, three for softmax activation, and two for neural network layer-wise multiplication-addition. The three smart contract functions in softmax computation involve the computation of exponential values, the summation of exponents, and finding the final value of softmax output.

**Blockchain Network:** To test our hypothesis, we have considered Ethereum local blockchain Ganache-cli and the public test net Ropsten test network to conduct experiments. The local blockchain Ganache-cli is for testing and stabilizing our transactions with the fair output accuracy of our proposed work. Moreover, smart contracts are deployed on Binance smart chain [49] and Polygon [48] to generalize the cost of predictions. Our project implementation is available in GitHub [47].

**VII. PERFORMANCE RESULTS**

**Smart Contract Activation Function Output:** From figure 5, we can see that the derived sigmoid activation function with the smart contract has almost the same output as the Python library-based computations. Moreover, the softmax activation function in smart contracts is even more accurate and produces the same output as non-smart contract library functions, as shown in figure 6. The curve forms an exponential behavior as expected by the softmax activation function. The blue curve of the library function is not seen due to the exact values produced by smart contract output.

**On-Chain Prediction Accuracy:** One of the major contributions of this work is to produce reasonable accuracy for predicting a neural network-based prediction task. Figure 7 shows the prediction accuracy of smart contract-based functions that produced 71 percent accuracy for the 4-neuron trained model, 86 percent accuracy for the 8-neuron trained model, and 89 percent accuracy for the 12-neuron trained model. Our model produces an accuracy of 99 percent compared to the Python Keras library.

**Prediction Gas Usage:** Figure 8 shows the rise of gas consumption with the number of input features for multiplying inputs with weights and adding biases. The line equation given in the graph estimates the gas consumption for more components in this setup. Moreover, feature scalability depends on the block gas limit to create a block. For the Ethereum Ropsten test network, the creation of a block is restricted to a gas consumption of 30,000,000 Gwei [51]. Provided we do not exceed the gas limit, the layer one multiplication would run...
### Cost of Prediction with Smart Contracts Considering 4 Neurons for the Hidden Layer and 10 Neurons for the Output Layer for MNIST Digit Classification Dataset with 784 Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smart Contract Function</th>
<th>Ethereum Mainnet</th>
<th>Ethereum Testnet</th>
<th>Binance Testnet</th>
<th>Polygon Testnet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer 1 Computation</td>
<td>0.005263</td>
<td>0.00473</td>
<td>0.06282</td>
<td>0.00473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigmoid Activation</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>0.000167</td>
<td>0.00062</td>
<td>0.000167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Computation</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
<td>0.00309</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softmax Activation</td>
<td>0.00077</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>0.00151</td>
<td>0.000401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>0.023413</td>
<td>0.010687</td>
<td>0.005263</td>
<td>0.00077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 8.** Gas consumption of layer one operation (Hidden layer computations) with an increasing number of features and fixed number of neurons (4 neurons).

**Fig. 9.** Gas consumption of neural network with a rising number of neurons (Hidden layer computations) and fixed number of features (784 features).

without any obstruction. Figure 9 shows the gas consumption with a rising number of neurons. The gas consumption is linear, and the equation provides estimated gas for many features.

We tested our hypothesis for scalability with the multi-hidden-layer neural network with two hidden layers. Figure 10 shows a 3D plot of the rising gas consumption of this architecture with an increasing number of neurons. When the number of neurons grows on both the x and y-axis, multiplication complexity rises, resulting in higher gas consumption along the z-axis. This trend is expected to follow and grow with more hidden layers as per our study.

**Cost Analysis:** Table V shows the cost of prediction for a single classification inside a smart contract with different blockchain platforms. While Ethereum Ropsten and polygon networks have an average price of 0.010 ether and matic, the main network has 0.023 ether. However, the Binance smart chain has an average cost of 0.085868 bnb, which is higher than the rest of the test networks.

**VIII. LIMITATION AND CHALLENGES**

**Integer Overflow:** Since we used fraction equivalence of exponent values, which is of the form 19/7, the exponent of this fraction will be a very high number depending on the power value. An Ethereum smart contract using Solidity language has a limitation of integer representation with 256 bits for a signed and unsigned integer [50]. That means the value of a signed integer will range from $-2^{256}/2$ to $+2^{256}/2$. An unsigned integer value ranges from 0 to about $2^{256}$. Any value that goes beyond this range cannot be used for measuring softmax computations.

**Block Gas Limit:** The block gas limit of the Ethereum Ropsten test network limits the number of computations that can be performed in a single block. As of the current Etherscan website, report [52], the Ropsten test network can create a block with a gas limit of 30,000,000. Anything over this gas consumption needs to be transacted in a different block.

**Multiple Block Creation:** With our current deployment and smart contract functions, each of the predictions inside the blockchain smart contracts will require 6 blocks of informa-
tion: one for layer one multiplication input, one for layer two multiplication, 1 for sigmoid activation, and 3 for softmax multiplication. With an increase in the prediction count, the ledger will increase with 6 blocks each time as each of these transactions are part of individual blocks.

IX. CONCLUSION

Deep learning prediction services lack trust, provenance, immutability, accountability, and security. The blockchain-based deep learning prediction can provide a solution for untampered prediction, ownership retention, and consensus-based transactions that can address the security concerns of deep learning. However, the smart contracts programming languages in blockchain technology are not designed to handle complex activation functions required by deep learning algorithms. We derived a platform-agnostic novel method to compute the activation function with Taylor series expansion to estimate the classification of an unknown dataset. Our derived method produced an excellent accuracy and can be reused in other deep learning algorithms for predictions.

X. FUTURE WORK

Off-chain Model Validation: Off-chain training needs to be secured under a blockchain platform for better trust and security. For off-chain training, we need to explore scalable blockchain solutions with faster transactions and cheaper computational costs. There are many scalability platforms such as sharding [53], optimistic and zero-knowledge proof roll-ups [54], plasma [55], and validium [50] that can provide the training of our algorithm at a little cost and time. We plan to implement the off-chain training component on these scalable solutions in our future work.

Other Deep Learning Techniques: Our current work studies the specific requirements concerning individual layers and activation functions of deep learning models. In our subsequent works, we aim to study different deep learning models such as convolutional neural networks, recurrent neural networks, and reinforcement learning. We expect these models would involve more intense implementation than our current work.
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